INTRODUCTION
Currently, the structure of genital infections in pregnant women is dominated by violations of the vaginal microecosystem. Pregnancy itself is a risk factor for the development of pathology caused by opportunistic microflora and microorganisms with weak virulence and aggression factors which leads to the occurrence of bacterial vaginosis (BV). BV in pregnant women is associated with an increase of obstetric complications and occurs with a frequency of up to 30%-50% [1].

The microecosystem of the vagina during a physiologically ongoing pregnancy is a dynamic self-regulating biological system characterized by colonial and nonspecific resistance, as well as biochemical parameters that reflect its metabolic activity [2, 3]. There is still no single view on the problem of the influence of hormonal changes during pregnancy on the quantitative and qualitative composition of microorganisms. Under the influence of corpus luteum hormones, the vaginal mucosa thickens, the elasticity of the cells of the intermediate layer increases, the synthesis of glycogen increases in them, and favorable conditions for the vital activity of lactobacilli are created [4, 5].

According to other authors, during pregnancy, when hormonal status is rearranged towards the prevalence of gestagens and under their influence the secretion of cervical mucus changes the pH level increases, which leads to a change in the vaginal microflora and the propagation of opportunistic microflora, which is characteristic of the norm, but with an increase in the population it can cause all kinds of unpleasant phenomena and the development of inflammatory processes [6].

The main problem with bacterial vaginosis is that the vagina is easily infected with pathogenic microflora and BV passes into vulvovaginitis. Ascending infection of the genital tract provokes inflammation of the membranes, in the event of which the risk of complications increases several times [7]. In this way, the high prevalence of BV in pregnant women make it an important public health problem. The etiopathogenesis of BV has not yet been fully studied and is still the subject of controversy due to the great diversity and complexity of the microorganisms involved; therefore, effective approaches to predicting outcomes and treating this infection are limited [8, 9]. The standard regimens recommended for prophylaxis and treatment allow to achieve cure only in 60-70% of cases. This is due to the fact that BV is characterized not only by excessive bacterial growth, but also by the presence of a dense bacterial biofilm tightly attached to the mucous membrane of the vagina, which is an obligate sign of BV and is absent in healthy women [9, 10]. Gardnerella vaginalis is known to be involved in the formation of biofilms on the vaginal epithelium. The primary attachment of Gardnerella to the vaginal epithelium serves as a framework for the attachment of other types of bacteria with a high degree of adhesion, cytotoxicity and the ability to form biofilms. Such biofilms contain bacteria enclosed in the intercellular matrix of polymer compounds that they synthesize immediately after adhesion – lipopolysaccharides, proteoglycans, endopolysaccharides, and have
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altered physiological properties that betray them resistance to antibiotics and immune defense factors [11, 12].

Currently, there are various therapeutic strategies for combating biofilm infections. This is the use of antiseptics, natural antimicrobials, DNase, plant compounds, prebiotics and probiotics, as well as acidification of the vagina to prevent recurrence of bacterial vaginosis [13].

There is no clear algorithm for treating bacterial vaginosis and its complications. Therefore, one should look for promising new methods of treating BV aimed at eliminating the pathogen, restoration of pH and colonization by strains of normal vaginal microflora [14].

It has been established that certain strains of *Lactobacillus* can act as probiotics, preventing the growth of bacteria associated with BV through two main mechanisms: inhibiting the adhesion of pathogens to the vaginal epithelium and the production of antimicrobial compounds such as hydrogen peroxide, lactic acid and bacteriocins [15, 16]. Antiseptics are also used to treat vaginal infections. They have antibacterial activity against a wide range of bacteria, acting by nonspecific destruction of their cell membrane [11].

**THE AIM**

The assessment of the state of the vaginal ecosystem in pregnant women with BV, as well as to study the clinical effectiveness of the therapy with the proposed method and to establish a possible cause of treatment failure.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The main group consisted of 60 pregnant women with BV and 10 women with a physiological course of gestation and childbirth. When selecting patients, the totality of signs that determined the state of the vaginal microbiocenosis as a nosological form of BV using the Amsel criteria was taken into account: the presence of “key” epithelial cells, whites, vaginal pH > 4.6; A positive amine test was determined when a fishy odor appeared after applying a drop of a 10% potassium dioxide (KOH) solution to a sample of vaginal discharge [17]. Attention was drawn to the presence of a massive microflora content, the prevalence of morphotypes of *Gardnerella vaginalis* and obligate anaerobic bacteria (*Eubacterium spp.*, *Atopobium vaginae*, *Snethia spp.*, *Megasphera spp.*, *Peptostreptococcus spp.*, *Lachnobacterium spp.*)

The examination was carried out in the II trimester. The study of microbiocenosis included the determination of the qualitative and quantitative composition of vaginal bacteria. For bacterioscopic examination, the material from the vagina was taken with a sterile instrument and transferred to glass. Then we prepare smears subsequently stained by Gramand carried out microscopy using the immersion system. The presence of leukocytes and the degree of seeding by microorganisms were determined. For microbiological research, the material was taken from the vagina with a universal probe. The working part of the probe containing the test material was cut off and placed in a disposable Eppendorf tube with a preservative solution. The presence of bacteria in the test material was confirmed by real-time PCR on a DT-96 instrument (SPU «DNA-Technology», Moscow) with the « Femoflor-16 » test system. DNA diagnostics of the microbial spectrum of the vaginal contents was carried out before and after the local correction of vaginal dysbiosis with the classification of the microbial spectrum according to Boldyreva M.N., 2010[18].

Bacteria from the biofilm were visualized using in situ fluorescence hybridization based on 16/23 S ribosomal DNA (rDNA). The material for the study was a biopsy from the lateral wall of the middle section of the vaginal fornix (1-3 mm in diameter) with its subsequent fixation and obtaining paraffin blocks. Microtome sections were examined by the FISH method using a variety of probes. Vaginal biopsies were studied before treatment and a week after. For each patient, bacterial biofilm diversification (relative microbial cluster content of different strains) and biofilm permeability (indicator of microbial activity) were evaluated using the ThermoBrite™ system in combination with VP 2000 [19].

Pregnant women with moderate and severe dysbiosis were divided into I (n = 41) and II (n = 19) clinical groups, respectively. In order to eliminate pathogenic microflora and normalize the condition of the vaginal ecosystem sanitation of the birth canal was carried out by irrigation of the vaginal mucosa with a broad-spectrum foaming antiseptic agent, which included chlorhexidine, hexamidine and chloroacresol 2 times a day with a diluted 1/10 solution within 7 days.

To update the vaginal microflora, a combination of the bacteria *Lactobacillus* acidophilus and estriol was used, 1 vaginal tablet daily, 12 days.

Efficiency assessment was carried out on the basis of the above research methods. Statistical processing was performed using the program Statistica for Windows (version 8.0). To compare groups by quantitative variables, parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used, and by qualitative variables, Pearson χ² criterion was used. Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05.

Described in the publication research methods have been applied with respect to human rights in accordance with the existing ones in Ukraine legislation that meets international ethical requirements and does not violate ethical standards in science and standards for biomedical research, according to the conclusions of the ethics committee of Kharkiv National Medical University.

**RESULTS**

When analyzing the data of bacteriological studies of the vaginal contents of women in the studied groups, it was found that the presence of an infectious process is accompanied by the formation of dysbiosis, the severity of which depends on the etiological factor and is characterized by varying degrees of decrease in lactobacilli, even to their complete absence against the background of the dominance of opportunistic microflora, both in the form of monocultures and microbial associations.
A bacteriological study of the control group revealed that in 97% of women, the composition of the vaginal microflora corresponded to normal indicators—the average number of lactobacilli was (7.8 ± 0.3) lg CFU / g. In some women (3%), the concentration of lactobacilli was slightly reduced to (4.6 ± 0.2) lg CFU / g. In isolated cases, E. coli, opportunistic staphylococci and streptococci, as well as Candida, were founded.

In the I group of women with a moderate dysbiosis a decrease in the concentration of lactobacilli was noted below 10^7 CFU / g and amounted to an average of 6.5 ± 0.14 lg CFU / g. The main obligate anaerobic organisms turned out to be Gardnerella vaginalis at a concentration of 3.4 ± 0.15 lg CFU / g. Among them, bacteria such as Gardnerella vaginalis, Mobiluncus spp., Staphylococcus spp., and their associations in some women also decreased significantly: 3.5±0.02 lg CFU / g, 2.4±0.02 lg CFU / g, respectively. At the same time lactobacilli were completely absent in 88.8% of the examined patients of this group (3.3±0.07 lg CFU / g on the average), which in most cases were completely absent before the start of therapy as well. The number of opportunistic anaerobes such as Gardnerella vaginalis, bacteria of the genus Mobiluncus spp., Atopobium vaginae, Snethia spp. and their associations in some women also decreased significantly: 3.5±0.02 lg CFU / g, 2.4±0.02 lg CFU / g, respectively. Biofilms were not detected when combining G. vaginalis and Megasphaera. (Tab. I) amounted to an average.
**DISCUSSION**

In more than half of the patients, the main component of the biofilm was bacteria belonging to the *Gardnerella* cluster and bacteria of the *Atopobium* cluster. In addition, *Snethia spp.* was determined in most cases as part of the biofilm. The presence of such a community of microorganisms can be considered a sign of biofilm [20]. According to the results of the study, *Gardnerella vaginalis* is not always accompanied by the formation of a biofilm with a violation of the vaginal microflora, since with the combination of *G. vaginalis* and *Megasphaera* no biofilms have been identified [21]. In addition, it should be noted that there was a tendency to increase the number of opportunistic microorganisms in the medium to $10^{5-7}$ CFU / g, which is also possibly one of the markers of biofilm formation [22]. Unfortunately, the treatment efficiency was lower than expected in some cases. We were not able to significantly improve the condition of the vaginal microbiota in 5 pregnant women, which indicates the persistence of vaginal ecosystem disturbances and the emergence of bacterial resistance (the presence of biofilms).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Thus, the use of the proposed treatment regimen for women with dysbiosis of the vaginal microflora led to the elimination of pathogenic and conditionally pathogenic microflora and promoted the colonization of the vaginal mucosa with *lactobacilli*. However, in some cases, the effect of the treatment was lower than expected. Therefore, the need to revise the standards for the diagnosis and treatment of bacterial vaginosis is obvious.

In our opinion, a promising method of treatment is the use of cavitated solutions (drug solutions treated with low-frequency ultrasound), the main advantage of which is an additional mechanical effect on the tissues, which contributes to the destruction of bacterial films, cleansing of the pathological substrate and trophic improvement. Our further research will be devoted to this topic.
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